

New Mexico Environment Department

<u>New Mexico Rapid Assessment Method</u> <u>Lowland Riverine Wetlands</u> April 18, 2024

Maryann McGraw, Wetlands Program Coordinator New Mexico Environment Department Surface Water Quality Bureau Wetlands Program

Photo credit Rhett Zyla #lamNMED

New Mexico Rapid Assessment Method (NMRAM) for Lowland Riverine Wetlands



New Mexico Environment Department Surface Water Quality Bureau Wetlands Program

> Natural Heritage New Mexico University of New Mexico Dr. Esteban Muldavin Elizabeth Milford





NATURAL HERITAG



Unconfined Lowland Riverine Wetlands on the Rio Grande (Photo by K. Menetrey)

NMRAM Design Goals

Create a science-based tool that provides a meaningful, rapid, and repeatable assessment of current wetland condition within a subclass.

- Based on Observation of Current Conditions
 - Combination of GIS and field data collection
 - Guided by best professional judgement
- Designed for New Mexico Wetlands
 - Subclass type and description
- Rapid
 - Team of 2-3 experienced trained users can complete in 1 day
- Repeatable
 - Trained users will arrive at same score independently



NMRAM Design

- Uses a set of observable landscape and field metrics to express the condition of a particular wetland site.
 - Each NMRAM Module is created for wetlands of a similar type (Subclass)
 - Developed in context of a disturbance gradient (Reference Set)
 - From high quality and functionality with low disturbance to the most degraded with high disturbance
 - NMRAM is tested for use in a given area (Reference Domain)
 - As NMRAM is tested in more regions they are added to the reference domain
- Underlying assumptions that wetland condition:
 - Will vary from most pristine to highly degraded along the disturbance gradient,
 - Can be evaluated and rated in a meaningful way based on the preponderance evidence provided by the set of metrics.
 - Reflects ecosystem function and integrity.

Some NMRAM metrics were developed and modified after CRAM, EIA, and HGM, and includes new metrics developed specifically for the Lowland Riverine Wetland Subclass.

Lowland Riverine Wetland Subclass

- Wetlands found along river channels that are generally 5th order or greater (>1300 cfs bankfull discharge) and generally occur below 6000 ft elevation.
- > Unconfined broad alluvial valleys where the grade falls below 1%.
- Perennial or Intermittent, particularly in desert reaches or during droughts.
- Low degree of confinement and room for lateral movement often leading to a high degree of channel sinuosity or multi-channel systems.

https://www.env.nm.gov/surface-water-quality/wetlands-rapid-assessment-methods/





NMRAM – Sampling Location

Wetland Of Interest (WOI)

- follow the natural feature patterns and be relatively homogeneous;
- belong to the target wetland subclass;
- avoid major discontinuities caused by land use (i.e., ag lands,
- urban development, roads, and other non-wetland elements)

Sampling Area (SA)

- Defined Area for Metric Measurements
- Specific to Wetland Class and Subclass

SA Selection Rules:

Placement

- Represents the WOI
- Size
 - Too small lower score
 - Too large raise score

Consistency

• May require separate Sampling Areas on each side of river.

Size Class	Historic WOI Width	Riverine Wetland Corridor Length
Small	<500 m	250 m
Medium	500-1000 m	500 m
Large	>1000 m	750 m



NMRAM Lowland Riverine Wetlands Metric List

Landscape Context Metrics

Buffer Integrity Index Riparian Corridor Connectivity Relative Wetland Size Surrounding Land Use

Biotic Metrics

Relative Native Plant Community Composition Vegetation Horizontal Patch Structure Vegetation Vertical Structure Native Riparian Tree Regeneration Invasive Exotic Plant Species Cover

Abiotic Metrics

Floodplain Hydrologic Connectivity Physical Patch Complexity Soil Surface Condition Channel Mobility Groundwater Index



NMRAM Lowland Riverine Wetlands Metric List

Landscape Context Metrics

Buffer Integrity Index Riparian Corridor Connectivity Relative Wetland Size Surrounding Land Use

Biotic Metrics

Relative Native Plant Community Composition Vegetation Horizontal Patch Structure Vegetation Vertical Structure Native Riparian Tree Regeneration Invasive Exotic Plant Species Cover

Abiotic Metrics

Floodplain Hydrologic ConnectivityPhysical Patch ComplexitySoil Surface ConditionChannel MobilityGroundwater IndexC-ranked

Vegetation Map for C-ranked site, Albuquerque, NM



Intraction of the contract state

Interview of

Vegetation Map for D-Ranked Site, Las Cruces, NM



Vegetation Map Detail for an A-ranked site, Bosque del Apache National Wildlife refuge.

NMRAM Lowland Riverine Wetlands Metric List

Landscape Context Metrics

Buffer Integrity Index Riparian Corridor Connectivity Relative Wetland Size Surrounding Land Use

Biotic Metrics

Relative Native Plant Community Composition Vegetation Horizontal Patch Structure Vegetation Vertical Structure Native Riparian Tree Regeneration Invasive Exotic Plant Species Cover

Abiotic Metrics

Floodplain Hydrologic Connectivity Physical Patch Complexity Soil Surface Condition Channel Mobility Groundwater Index

Floodplain Hydrologic Connectivity Sub-metrics

- Sampling Area Inundation degree and location
- Flood-deposited Large Woody Debris
- Side Channel Wetting
- Surface Litter

Floodplain Hydrologic Connectivity Return Interval for Stream Peak Discharge

- >25-year recent peak discharge return interval
- 10-25-year recent peak discharge return interval
- 2-10-year recent peak discharge return interval
- 1-2-year recent peak discharge return interval



Walking transects for Floodplain Hydrologic Connectivity metric on the Rio Grande

NMRAM Lowland Riverine Wetlands Rank and Summary Worksheet

• The Sample Area Summary allows for reviewing the values for each metric.

• The metric values are weighted based on understanding of the ecological processes and ability to measure them.

• The major attribute groups are further weighted relative to one another.

• The weighted category scores are then rolled up into a Final numeric Wetland Condition Score between 1.0 and 4.0

The Site is assigned a final Wetland Condition Rank that allows comparisons among sites for planning, mitigation, restoration prioritization and other management activities.

				Mc	tric Desc	rintion			Rating	\W/t	Final Sco
I andscape Context							Nating	Σ	1 75		
11 Buffer Integrity Index								3	0.25	0.75	
12. Rinarian Corridor Connectivity								1	0.25	0.25	
L3. Relative Wetland Size								2	0.25	0.5	
L4. Surrounding Land Use								1	0.25	0.25	
Biotic										Σ	2
B1. Relative M	Native Pl	ant Comm	unity Con	nposi	tion				1	0.2	0.2
B2. Vegetatic	on Horizo	ontal Patch	Structure	9					4	0.2	0.8
B3. Vegetatio	on Vertic	al Structure	e						3	0.2	0.6
B4. Native Ri	parian Tı	ree Regene	ration						1	0.2	0.2
B5. Invasive B	Exotic Pla	ant Species	Cover						1	0.2	0.2
Abiotic										Σ	3.7
A1. Floodpla	in Hydro	ologic Coni	nectivity						2	0.3	0.6
A2. Physical Patch Complexity								2	0.2	0.4	
A5. Soil Surface Condition								1	0.1	0.1	
A6. Channel Mobility							3	0.2	0.6		
A11. Groundwater Index 2 0.2								0.4			
SA Condition Scoring Summary SA Wetland Rank											
Major Attribute	Score	Wt.		Wt.	Score		Rank	Score	Description Excellent Condition		
Landscape Context	1.7	75	0.3		0.525		А	≥3.25 - 4.0			
Biotic	2		0.35		0.7		В	≥2.5 - <3.25	Good C	ondition	
Abiotic	3.	7	0.35		1.295		С	≥1.75 - <2.5	Fair Condition		
SA WETLAND	A WETLAND CONDITION SCORE Σ 2.52			D	1.0 - <1.75	Poor Condition					
SA WETLAND RANK = B											
Stressor Sum	mary	Major	Minor	Т	op Three						
		3	3		1	Extended	l low flow dam releas	es			
			•		2	Timing of fl	ow releases not concord	lant			
3 Agriculture/Urban flow diversion upstream											
Stressor Comments (Evaluation of risk)											
stressor com											

Stressor Checklist

Guide for evaluating potential drivers of ecological condition at local to watershed scales that can inform management

- Not used directly in scoring or ranking condition and explicitly excludes elements that are already incorporated in NMRAM metrics.
- Stressors grouped into major categories by their potential role in driving declines in wetland condition





S	SA CODE	:			Date: 2022-03-23					
s	6A Name	•:			Surveyor Initials :					
Worksi categoi Rank M	heet 15. S ries using ajor Stres	Stressor C direct evi sors in Do	hecklist. dence wh minant St	Check off s ere availab tressor colu	tressors by intensity category that may be affect le or your best professional judgement otherwis mn(Pick up to 3)	ing wetland ecological condition of the SA and WOI. Assign e. If the presence of the stressor is uncertain, mark as "Unknown".				
Rank	Major	Af Minor	fect Absent	Unknown	Stressor Group/Stressor	Comments				
					Adverse water management					
					Extended low flow dam releases					
					Timing of flow releases not concordant					
					Extended high flow dam releases					
					Agriculture/Urban flow diversion upstream					
					Adverse sediment management					
					Adverse sediment retention by dams					
					Sediment loss by dredging					
					Adverse sediment input					
					Artificial water additions					
					Sewer treatment effluent					
					Point source urban runoff					
					Factory, feedlot outfall					
					Agricultural irrigation ditch returns					
					Mining waste					
					Ground water pumping					
					Urban depletions					
					Fracking					
					Agriculture irrigation wells					
					Watershed alteration					
					Extensive recent fires in watershed					
					Extensive recent timber harvest					
					Extensive open pit mining in watershed					
					Livestock/wildlife overgrazing					
_					Local biodiversity impacts					
					Evidence of excessive grazing (local)					
					Excessive noise affecting wildlife					
	0	0		0	Counts by Intensity					
Addition	nal Comme	ents								

NMRAM Wetland Assessment Applications

- Prioritizing of riverine wetlands for restoration and protection
- Identifying suites or reaches of wetlands that are particularly impacted
- Providing profile data to facilitate restoration design
- Identifying areas of opportunity to improve and restore riverine wetlands
- Identifying drivers (stressors) of wetland resources declines
- Recognizing significant anthropogenic modification of a landscape and degraded condition around the wetland can influence conditions within the wetland itself
- Supporting the development of restoration and mitigation performance standards
- Monitoring to support adaptive management
- Coordinating and communicating wetland activities using a common framework





The NMRAM Field Guides and Manual can be accessed at https://www.env.nm.gov/surface-water-quality/wetlands-rapid-assessment-methods/

Team Requirements

- Team Leader and two other Team Members
- Vegetation and Hydrology Knowledge
- Target Sites or willing to collect data at Reference Sites

NMRAM Training Sessions and Certification for Teams

- On-Line tutorials for NMRAM Procedures
- Botany Booster Training
- Field Training Sessions
- Certification upon Completion

Contact: <u>maryann.mcgraw@env.nm.gov</u> for more information





Thank you from the New Mexico Environment Department Surface Water Quality Bureau Wetlands Program!

Funding was provided by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 6 through-Wetlands Program Development Grants awarded to the New Mexico Environment Department Surface Water Quality Bureau Wetlands Program. Additional funding was provided by Natural Heritage New Mexico, a Division of the Museum of Southwestern Biology, University of New Mexico.

For More Information contact: Maryann McGraw, Wetlands Program Coordinator New Mexico Environment Department Surface Water Quality Bureau Wetlands Program website at: <u>New Mexico Wetlands Program (nm.gov)</u> maryann.mcgraw@env.nm.gov